UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Alberto Sanchez-Sotelo		Case Number: <u>11-10139M-001</u>	
present and w	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 14, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the FINDINGS OF FACT	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	THE HOS OF TACT	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the ch	he defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal h	nistory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico).	
	The defendant is an amnesty appl substantial family ties to Mexico.	icant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade I	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as no		
4		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe		
2.		litions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
 .		IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
أمصما ا	man mala mai in a manamai ka ali a kila a a caka da a da a	filler Allegar and Organization big the analysis and a superson and attended to four and Comment of the	

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: September 14, 2011

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge